To the Members of the Federal Communication Commission,

As a parent of six children, I am writing to tell you how deeply we have been blessed and encouraged as a family by KLOVE (106.7). Every word that comes through our radio is uplifting and encouraging. Every day our faith is strengthened. I rest assured that there will never be anything offensive said or song played that would undermine our values.

It has come to my attention that several proposals are currently being considered which, I believe, may adversely affect my family and the freedoms we have in listening to Christian radio.

"Specifically, the FCC is considering a proposal that would force every radio station to take programming advice from community advisory boards broadly representative of an area's population."

I stand in opposition to this proposal and request that you do not require radio stations to answer to a community advisory board. I cannot be assured that those on the board would share my family values and am concerned that such a law may adversely affect the well being my children.

"Among the proposed new regulations are requirements that stations report, every three months, how much programming of various types has been broadcast, who produced it, and how it reflects the interests of a cross-section of local residents."

I stand in opposition to this proposal as I believe that this may open the door to the harassment of Christian radio stations by those with opposing morals and values.

"One proposed variation would even force stations to grant a certain amount of airtime to any group that requests it – much like cable television systems make time available on "public access channels."

I stand in opposition to this proposal as I am interested in listening to (and allowing my children to listen to) only those stations with likeminded values and faith. It is for this reason that every radio we own is set to KLOVE.

"The FCC is considering a renewal processing procedure that would take renewal-granting power out of the hands of qualified civil servants when a Christian station, in good conscience, has kept its message pure and not allowed its facilities to be used to promulgate other messages. Instead of routine processing by civil servants, such a station's renewal application will be subject to the often multi-year process of review by the politically-appointed FCC commissioners."

"The FCC is also proposing to drive up the costs of providing Christian Broadcasting services by eliminating labour-saving technological enhancements that make it possible to operate radio stations, at least part of the time, without an employee on the premises... The FCC is also considering a proposal that would force many Christian stations to relocate their main studio facilities. Now, it is possible to serve several missions from one location. But under this proposal, many co-location arrangements would be forced to end – raising daily operating costs and imposing immediate expenses related to moving, construction of other facilities and overseeing forced relocations."

I stand in opposition to these proposals as they may result in undue financial strain upon many radio stations. License renewal may become more costly and time consuming. Ultimately, some stations (including those which are fully listener supported) may be forced off the air.

Thank you for your careful and thoughtful consideration regarding each of these proposals and the adverse effects to which they might lead.

Respectfully,

Cynthia Brown- Cary, NC